

Status and perspectives

Giorgio Gratta Physics Dept, Stanford

LBL, Mar 19, 2009

Our knowledge of the lepton mixing matrix

$$\mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}_{e1} & \mathbf{U}_{e2} & \mathbf{U}_{e3} \\ \mathbf{U}_{\mu 1} & \mathbf{U}_{\mu 2} & \mathbf{U}_{\mu 3} \\ \mathbf{U}_{\tau 1} & \mathbf{U}_{\tau 2} & \mathbf{U}_{\tau 3} \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos\theta_{23} & \sin\theta_{23} \\ 0 & -\sin\theta_{23} & \cos\theta_{23} \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{13} & 0 & e^{-i\delta_{cP}}\sin\theta_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -e^{-i\delta_{cP}}\sin\theta_{13} & 0 & \cos\theta_{13} \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta_{12} & \sin\theta_{12} & 0 \\ -\sin\theta_{12} & \cos\theta_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bullet \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\alpha/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \bullet$$

Neutrinoless Atmospheric v Chooz/Palo Verde Solar v double beta Off axis K2K KamLAND decay Minos et al **Future reactors** Future solar v exp. θ₁₃<7° @ 90%CL θ₁₂~32° θ₂₃~45°



The measurement of the absolute mass scale, θ_{13} and the choice of hierarchy are the next big challenges in neutrino physics

Double-beta decay:

a second-order process only detectable if first order beta decay is energetically forbidden



Candidate nuclei with Q>2 MeV

Candidate	Q	Abund.
	(MeV)	(%)

⁴⁸ Ca→ ⁴⁸ Ti	4.271	0.187
⁷⁶ Ge→ ⁷⁶ Se	2.040	7.8
⁸² Se→ ⁸² Kr	2.995	9.2
96 Zr \rightarrow ⁹⁶ Mo	3.350	2.8
$^{100}Mo \rightarrow ^{100}Ru$	3.034	9.6
¹¹⁰ Pd→ ¹¹⁰ Cd	2.013	11.8
$^{116}Cd \rightarrow ^{116}Sn$	2.802	7.5
¹²⁴ Sn→ ¹²⁴ Te	2.228	5.64
¹³⁰ Te→ ¹³⁰ Xe	2.533	34.5
¹³⁶ Xe→ ¹³⁶ Ba	2.479	8.9
$^{150}Nd \rightarrow ^{150}Sm$	3.367	5.6

There are two varieties of $\beta\beta$ decay

2∨ mode: a conventional 2nd order process in nuclear physics O_V mode: a hypothetical process can happen only if: $M_v \neq 0$ since to $v = \overline{v}$ $|\Delta L| = 2$ $|\Delta (B-L)| = 2$



Background due to the Standard Model $2v\beta\beta$ decay



Summed electron energy in units of the kinematic endpoint (Q)

<u>The two can be separated in a detector with</u> <u>good energy resolution</u>

If $0v\beta\beta$ is due to light v Majorana masses

$$\left\langle m_{\nu}\right\rangle^{2} = \left(T_{1/2}^{0\nu\beta\beta} G^{0\nu\beta\beta}(E_{0},Z) \left| M_{GT}^{0\nu\beta\beta} - \frac{g_{\nu}^{2}}{g_{A}^{2}} M_{F}^{0\nu\beta\beta} \right|^{2} \right)^{-1}$$

$M_{F}^{0 uetaeta}$	and	$M_{GT}^{0 uetaeta}$
	C	τ ⁰ νββ Γ
	T	-0 <i>νββ</i> 1/2

can be calculated within particular nuclear models

a known phasespace factor

is the quantity to be measured

$$\langle m_{v} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left| U_{e,i} \right|^{2} m_{i} \mathcal{E}_{i}$$

effective Majorana v mass ($\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$ if CP is conserved)

Cancellations are possible ...

Giorgio Gratta, EXO

Much progress made recently in accuracy of nuclear matrix elements. (e.g. was found that main uncertainly in (R)QRPA calculations comes from the single particle space around the Fermi surface. \rightarrow Can use the measured $2v\beta\beta$ T_{1/2} to make a correction.)



Still, if/once $Ov\beta\beta$ decay is discovered, the $T_{1/2}$ in more than one nucleus will be needed to pin down neutrino masses

Present Limits for Ov double beta decay

Candidate	Detector	Present		<m> (eV)</m>
nucleus	type	(kg yr)	T _{1/2} ^{0νββ} (yr)	
48 Ca			>1.4*10 ²² (90%CL)	
⁷⁶ Ge	Ge diode	~47.7	>1.9*10 ²⁵ (90%CL)	<0.35*
⁸² Se			>1*10 ²³ (90%CL)	
¹⁰⁰ Mo			>4.6*10 ²³ (90%CL)	
¹¹⁶ Cd			>1.7*10 ²³ (90%CL)	
¹²⁸ Te	TeO ₂ cryo		>1.1*10 ²³ (90%CL)	
¹³⁰ Te	TeO ₂ cryo	~12	>3*10 ²⁴ (90%CL)	<0.19 - 0.68
¹³⁶ Xe	Xe scint	~4.5	>1.2*10 ²⁴ (90%CL)	<1.1 - 2.9
¹⁵⁰ Nd			>1.2*10 ²¹ (90%CL)	
¹⁶⁰ Gd			>1.3*10 ²¹ (90%CL)	

* But also claim of signal by part of same group

Giorgio Gratta, EXO

There is also a discovery claim (using ⁷⁶Ge)

H.V. KLAPDOR-KLEINGROTHAUS^{1,3}, A. DIETZ¹, H.L. HARNEY¹, I.V. KRIVOSHEINA^{1,2} ¹Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Postfach 10 S9 80, D-69029 Heidelberg, delberg, delberg tett. Germany ²Radiophysical-Research Institute, Nishnii-Nougorod, Russia ³Spokessman of the GENIUS and HEIDELBERG-MOSCOW Collaborations,

With the values $T_{1/2}^{0\nu\beta\beta} = 2.23^{+0.44}_{-0.31}$ $m_{eff} = 0.32 \pm 0.03$

...but this is a controversial matter (see details in) C.A. Aalseth Mod. Phys. Lett. A17 (2002) 1475 F. Feruglio et al. Nucl. Phys. B637 (2002) 345 Addendum-ibid. B659 (2003) 359 Yu.Zdesenko et al. Phys.Lett. B 546 (2002) 206 H.L. Harney Mod. Phys. Lett. A16 (2001) 2409 A.M.Bakalyarov et al. hep-ex/0309016 H.V.Klapdor-Kleingrouthaus et al. Phys. Lett. B 586 (2004) 198 H.V.Klapdor-Kleingrouthaus et al. Mod. Phys. Lett. 21 (2006) 1547





In the last 10 years there has been a transition

1) From a few kg detectors to 100s or 1000s kg detectors → Think big: qualitative transition from cottage industry to large experiments

2) From "random shooting" to the knowledge that at least the inverted hierarchy will be tested

Discovering Ovββ decay: → Discovery of the neutrino mass scale → Discovery of Majorana particles → Discovery of lepton number violation To reach <m,> ~ 10 meV very large fiducial mass (tons) (except for Te) need massive isotopic enrichment Need to reduce and control backgrounds in qualitatively new ways these are the lowest background experiment ever built

For no bkgnd
$$\langle m_{\nu} \rangle \propto 1/\sqrt{T_{1/2}^{0\nu\beta\beta}} \propto 1/\sqrt{Nt}$$

Scaling with bkgd goes like
$$Nt$$
 $\langle m_{\nu} \rangle \propto 1/\sqrt{T_{1/2}^{0\nu\beta\beta}} \propto 1/(Nt)^{1/4}$

In addition a multi-parameter experiment, if feasible, would provide information for cross checks with more than one single variable, if a discovery is made.

ββ decay experiments are at the leading edge of "low background" techniques

- Final state ID: 1) "Geochemical": search for an abnormal abundance
 - of (A,Z+2) in a material containing (A,Z)
 - 2) "Radiochemical": store in a mine some material (A,Z)
 - and after some time try to find (A,Z+2) in it
 - + Very specific signature
 - + Large live times (particularly for 1)
 - + Large masses
 - Possible only for a few isotopes (in the case of 1)
 - No distinction between Ov, 2v or other modes
- "Real time": ionization or scintillation is detected in the decay
 - a) "Homogeneous": source=detector
 - b) "Heterogeneous": source # detector
 - + Energy/some tracking available (can distinguish modes)
 - + In principle universal (b)
 - Many γ backgrounds can fake signature
 - Exposure is limited by human patience

Xe is ideal for a large experiment

- No need to grow crystals
- •Can be re-purified during the experiment
- •No long lived Xe isotopes to activate
- •Can be easily transferred from one detector to another if new technologies become available
- •Noble gas: easy(er) to purify
- •136Xe enrichment easier and safer:
 - noble gas (no chemistry involved)
 - centrifuge feed rate in gram/s, all mass useful
 - centrifuge efficiency ~ Δm . For Xe 4.7 amu
- •129Xe is a hyperpolarizable nucleus, under study for NMR

tomography... a joint enrichment program ?

Xe offers a qualitatively new tool against background: ¹³⁶Xe → ¹³⁶Ba⁺⁺ e⁻ e⁻ final state can be identified using optical spectroscopy (M.Moe PRC44 (1991) 931)

Ba⁺ system best studied (Neuhauser, Hohenstatt, Toshek, Dehmelt 1980) Very specific signature "shelving" Single ions can be detected from a photon rate of 10⁷/s

 Important additional constraint
 Drastic background reduction



The Ba-tagging, added to a high resolution Xe imaging detector provides the tools to develop a background-free next-generation ßß experiment

Assume an "asymptotic" fiducial mass of 10 tons of ¹³⁶Xe at 80%

A somewhat natural scale:

World production of Xe is ~40 ton/yr

Mainly going in light bulbs, plasma displays and satellite propulsion

- Detector size
- $\cdot 2 \cdot 10^3$ size increase: good match to the

10⁻² eV mass region





The EXO Collaboration

K.Barry, E.Niner, A.Piepke

Physics Dept, U. of Alabama, Tuscaloosa AL

P.Vogel Physics Dept Caltech, Pasadena CA

A.Bellerive, M.Bowcock, M.Dixit, K.Graham, C.Hargrove, E.Rollin, D.Sinclair, V.Strickland *Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada*

C. Benitez-Medina, S.Cook, W.Fairbank Jr., K.Hall, B.Mong Colorado State U., Fort Collins CO M.Moe Physics Dept UC Irvine, Irvine CA

D.Akimov, I.Alexandrov, A.Burenkov, M.Danilov, A.Dolgolenko, A,Karelin, A.Kovalenko, A.Kuchenkov, V.Stekhanov, O.Zeldovich *ITEP Moscow, Russia*

B.Aharmim, K.Donato, J.Farine, D.Hallman, U.Wichoski *Laurentian U., Canada* H.Breuer, C.Hall, L.Kaufman, D.Leonard, S. Slutsky, Y-R. Yen

U. of Maryland, College Park MD

K.Kumar, A.Pocar U. of Massachusetts, Amherst

M.Auger, G.Giroux, R.Gornea, F.Juget, G.Lutter, J-L.Vuilleumier,

J-M.Vuilleumier Laboratory for High Energy Physics, Bern, Switzerland

N.Ackerman, M.Breidenbach, R.Conley, W.Craddock, J.Hodgson, D.McKay, A.Odian,

C.Prescott, P.Rowson, K.Skarpaas, J.Wodin, L.Yang, S.Zalog

SLAC, Menlo Park CA

L.Bartoszek, R.Cooper, R.DeVoe, M.Dolinski, B.Flatt, G.Gratta, M.Green, F.LePort, M.Montero-Diez, R.Neilson, A.Reimer-Muller, A.Rivas, K.O'Sullivan, K.Twilker *Physics Dept Stanford U., Stanford CA*



EXO-200kg Majorana mass sensitivity

Assumptions:

- 1) 200kg of Xe enriched to 80% in 136
- 2) $\sigma(E)/E = 1.4\%$ obtained in EXO R&D, Conti et al Phys Rev B 68 (2003) 054201
- Low but finite radioactive background:
 20 events/year in the ±2σ interval centered around the 2.481MeV endpoint
- 4) Negligible background from $2\nu\beta\beta$ ($T_{1/2}>1\cdot 10^{22}$ yr R.Bernabei et al. measurement)

Case	Mass	Eff.	Run	σ _E /Ε @	Radioactive	T _{1/2} ^{0v}	Majora	ina mass
	(ton)	(%)	Time	2.5MeV	Background	(yr,	(4	eV)
			(yr)	(%)	(events)	90%CL)	QRPA	NSM
EXO-200	0.2	70	2	1.6*	40	6.4*10 ²⁵	0.133†	0.186*

What if Klapdor's observation is correct ?

Central value $T_{1/2}$ (Ge) = $1.2^{+3}_{-0.5} \cdot 10^{25}$, (±3 σ) (Phys. Lett. B 586 (2004) 198-212 consistently use Rodin's matrix elements for both Ge and Xe)

In 200kg EXO, 2yr:

·Worst case (QRPA, upper limit) 15 events on top of 40 events bkgd \rightarrow 2 σ

·Best case (NSM, lower limit) 162 events on top of 40 bkgd \rightarrow 11 σ

Berkeley Mar 19, 2009

EXO-200kg Majorana mass sensitivity

Assumptions:

- 1) 200kg of Xe enriched to 80% in 136
- 2) $\sigma(E)/E = 1.4\%$ obtained in EXO R&D, Conti et al Phys Rev B 68 (2003) 054201
- Low but finite radioactive background:
 20 events/year in the ±2σ interval centered around the 2.481MeV endpoint
- 4) Negligible background from $2\nu\beta\beta$ ($T_{1/2}>1\cdot 10^{22}$ yr R.Bernabei et al. measurement)

Case	Mass	Eff.	Run	σ _E /Ε @	Radioactive	T _{1/2} ^{0v}	Majora	ina mass
	(ton)	(%)	Time	2.5MeV	Background	(yr,	(e	eV)
			(yr)	(%)	(events)	90%CL)	QRPA	NSM
EXO-200	0.2	70	2	1.6*	40	6.4*10 ²⁵	0.13†	0.19*

What if Klapdor's observation is correct ?

Central value $T_{1/2}$ (Ge) = 2.23^{+0.44}_{0.31} · 10²⁵, (±3 σ)

In EXO-200, 2yr:

·Worst case (QRPA, upper limit) 46 events on top of 40 events bkgd \rightarrow 5.0 σ

·Best case (NSM, lower limit) 170 events on top of 40 bkgd \rightarrow 11.7 σ

EXO neutrino effective mass sensitivity

Assumptions:

- 1) 80% enrichment in 136
- 2) Intrinsic low background + Ba tagging eliminate all radioactive background
- Energy res only used to separate the Ov from 2v modes: Select Ov events in a ±20 interval centered around the 2.481MeV endpoint
- 4) Use for $2\nu\beta\beta T_{1/2} > 1 \cdot 10^{22}$ yr (Bernabei et al. measurement)

Case	Mass	Eff.	Run	σ _E /E @	2νββ	T _{1/2} ^{0v}	Majora	na mass
	(ton)	(%)	Time	2.5MeV	Background	(yr,	(m	eV)
			(yr)	(%)	(events)	90%CL)	QRPA [‡]	NSM#
Conserva tive	1	70	5	1.6*	0.5 (use 1)	2*10 ²⁷	24	33
Aggressi ve	10	70	10	1†	0.7 (use 1)	4.1*10 ²⁸	5.3	7.3

* σ(E)/E = 1.4% obtained in EXO R&D, Conti et al Phys Rev B 68 (2003) 054201
† σ(E)/E = 1.0% considered as an aggressive but realistic guess with large light collection area
* Rodin, et. al., Nucl. Phys. A 793 (2007) 213-215
Caurier, et. al., arXiv:0709.2137v1

Status of 2v mode in ¹³⁶Xe

2vββ decay has never been observed in ¹³⁶Xe. Some of the lower limits on its half life are close to (and in one case below) the theoretical expectation.

	T _{1/2} (yr)	evts/year in the 200kg prototype (no efficiency applied)
Experimental limit		
Leuscher et al	>3.6·10 ²⁰	<1.3 M
Gavriljuk et al	>8.1·10 ²⁰	<0.6 M
Bernabei et al	>1.0·10 ²²	<48 k
Theoretical prediction		
QRPA (Staudt et al) [T _{1/2} ^{max}]	=2.1 · 10 ²²	=23 k
QRPA (Vogel et al)	=8.4 · 10 ²⁰	=0.58 M
NSM (Caurier et al)	(=2.1·10 ²¹)	(=0.23 M)

EXO-200 should definitely resolve this issue



200 kg ¹³⁶Xe test production completed in spring '03 (80% enrichment)

Giorgio Gratta, EXO



 Largest highly enriched stockpile not related to nuclear industry
 Largest sample of separated ββ isotope (by ~factor of 10)



26

EXO R&D showed the way to improved energy resolution in LXe: Use (anti)correlations between ionization and scintillation signals



Be

Anti-correlated ionization and scintillation improves the energy resolution in LXe



Ionization alone: σ(E)/E = 3.8% @ 570 keV or 1.8% @ Q_{BB}

Ionization & Scintillation: $\sigma(E)/E = 3.0\% @ 570 \text{ keV}$ or 1.4% @ $Q_{\beta\beta}$ (a factor of 2 better than the Gotthard TPC)

E.Conti et al. Phys. Rev. B: 68 (2003) 054201 and by now other groups have used this [e.g. E. Aprile et al. PRB 76 (2007) 014115]

EXO-200 will collect 3-4 times as much scintillation... further improvement possible

EXO-200 TPC basics

- <u>The detector measures both the ionization electrons and the</u> scintillation light to get best energy resolution.
 - In addition, the position of the decay is measured to get spatial distributions and (for later) the position of the Ba ion.
 - Info on event topology also important for background separation.
- The detector is a cylinder of ~40cm ID by ~40cm inner length.
- The cylinder is split by a cathode plane at the center so there are two symmetric drift regions. The cathode runs at negative HV.
 - Max HV is ~ 70kV (~3.5 kV/cm drift). Energy resolution improves with drift field, but there are arguments that separation of
 - 1 vs 2 primary electrons might be better at lower fields.
 - \rightarrow field optimization is an important mission of EXO-200
- Readout "style":
 - Crossed wires, 100µm wires, 3mm pitch, ganged in groups of 3
 48ch x, 48ch y, total 96 ch per 1/2 detector
 (Pad readout rejected because of high channel count)



EXO chamber "hugs" the fiducial volume very closely!





Low activity copper traveled from Germany to...

...California in a shielded container



Ultra-low activity Cu vessel

 Very light (~1.5mm thin, ~15kg) to minimize materials



Berkeley Mar 19, 2009



•Different parts e-beam welded together (San Leandro)

 Field TIG weld(s) to seal the vessel after assembly (TIG technology tested for radioactivity)

• All machining done by in a shop under a shallow cosmic ray shielding

Giorgio Gratta, ____






EXO-200 LXe TPC field cage & readout planes



One readout pancake



Berkeley Mar 19, 2009



650 bare LAAPD from Advanced Photonix



Berkeley Mar 19, 2009

Giorgio Gratta, EXO



QE > 1 at 175nm

Gain set at 100-150

V~1500V
∆V < ±0.5V
∆T < ±1K APD is the driver for temperature stability
Leakage current OK cold

~500 "Bare" LAAPD

APDs are ideal for our application:

- very clean & light-weight,
- very sensitive to VUV



















The TPC vessel sits in a low background, copper cryostat filled with ultra-clean HFE7000 refrigerant/shielding fluid



Cryostat was fabricated at SDMS (Grenoble)







Each brick is epoxy painted at the factory in Germany to reduce Pb dust



Berkeley Mar 19, 2009











Massive effort on material radioactive qualification using:

- · NAA
- Low background γ -spectroscopy
- α -counting
- Radon counting
- High sensitivity GD-MS and ICP-MS

At present the database of characterized materials includes >300 entries

MC simulation of backgrounds

The impact of every screw within the Pb shielding is evaluated before acceptance

NIM article published on the subject with entries for 225 materials [D.Leonard et al., Nucl. Inst. and Meth. A 591 3 (2008)]

Berkeley Mar 19, 2009



GXe R&D program

Gas Xe has the potential of providing event topology information along with very good energy resolution

How well this works depends of course on the pressure.

1000 kg, OC, cylinder with 2R=L				
Pressure (atm)	Volume (m ³)	Diameter or Length (m)		
1	179	6.1		
2	89	4.8		
5	35	3.5		
10	17	2.8		



First EXO concept

M. Danilov et al (EXO coll), Phys Lett 480 (2000) 12





Conventional gain, including GEMs and Micromegas, has a number of problems for EXO:

- 1. Energy resolution not ideal
- 2. Additive quenchers likely eat up the Ba or at least add another constraint to an already challenging problem

If feasible, pure Xe option appears better suited

Variable length drift cell for resolution and gas composition studies



Next Step: build a high pressure chamber able to contain ~1MeV electrons with electroluminescent readout

This chamber will NOT be low background but will use methods that can be made low background

No PMTs. Primary VUV detection option: CsI modular structure will allow the test of gaseous photocathodes (and, possibly wavelength shifting fibers or APDs)

Goals: - Measure energy resolution for 1MeV electrons with segmented readout

- Evaluate tracking ability and background rejection at different pressures
- Study E field and readout options
- Validate the concept for a large detector

Will try to have chamber ready in summer 09 at Carleton

(although competition for personnel to start EXO-200 is harsh)

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{V} ~ \textbf{~} ~ 300 \text{ I} \\ \text{P} \leq 10 \text{atm} \end{array}$



CsI readout plane







Distillation system

Name	Composition	Ioniz potential (eV)	Vap press at 20C (torr)
TMAE	C ₁₀ H ₂₄ N ₄	5.4	0.35
TEA	C ₁₇ H ₄₄ N ₄	7.5	55
exo-2- chloronorborn ane	C ₇ H ₁₁ Cl	1.65	3.3
(S)-exo-5- norbornen-2- ylchloride	C ₇ H ₁₉ Cl	1.1	1.6

Ideas about pressure vessel

The pressure vessel requires substantial engineering to optimize shielding, structure, access, ...

Maybe rock can support the pressure.

If a free-standing vessel is needed, does it have to be built underground? (weight, size)



Ba tagging R&D
Ba⁺ identification in a Linear Ion Trap



Berkeley Mar 19, 2009



Berkeley Mar 19, 2009

Giorgio Gratta, EXO





M.Green et al. arXiv:0702122, Phys Rev A 76 (2007) 023404 B.Flatt et al. arXiv:0704.1646, NIM A 578 (2007) 409

Giorgio Gratta, EXO

Single ion spectroscopy & identification possible in some Xe atmosphere provided He is added to the trap



Trap also allows for very "clean" ion transport



Remaining challenge is the efficient transfer of single Ba ions from LXe to the ion trap



Complete setup



Berkeley Mar 19, 2009





In progress...

Shoot ions from the trap onto the cryotip and back into the ion trap

Measure the product of efficiencies



An alternative way to transport the Ba ion:



In this case *each step* can be documented to work with high efficiency in the literature !

Resonant ionization spectroscopy lab









RIS from Ba vapor phase: things work as they should



For very thin Ba coatings a few shorts remove the Ba from a particular spot. But the signal comes back elsewhere

Desorption and Ionization of Barium, Scan Across Si Target Desorption E = 2 mJ/pulse, 1.8 usec delay, gate 3.8-5.2 usec



Berkeley Mar 19, 2009

87

Can desorb Ba and ionize in the same shot

To do:

- Learn how to deal with the fact that few shots may "burn" all the Ba from a place (this will not be a problem with single ions!)
- Understand better ablation thresholds
- Figure out a nice way to present data
- Install TOF spectrometer
- Build a, possibly tagged, single Ba source
- Work with single ions and measure efficiency



Following step: transfer Ba-ions from LXe cell to ion trap





What about Ba tagging from a GXe detector? We have just started to think about this:



One may be able to borrow techniques from the radioactive ion beams community and build a chain of differentially pumped chambers, separated by nozzles, where the ion is confined by various means before reaching the identification stage in vacuum.

Giorgio Gratta, EXO

Plans for the future

It's tough to make predictions... especially about the future



Some possibilities from possible EXO-200 outcomes

Case 1: EXO-200 sees nothing, really → Build the largest possible EXO, with Ba tagging unless the EXO-200 background is spectacular Go to DUSEL or to SNOIab Start in 5 to 8 yrs from now

- Case 2: EXO-200 has a 2 or 3 sigma peak at the right place
 - → Build a new ~500kg chamber maybe in the same cryostat and same mine Start in <2 after signal is seen</p>

Case 3: EXO-200 has a clear signal

→ (open Champagne and) build a 1 bar GXe TPC for the very same 200kg of Xe to study angular correlations Possibly go to SNOlab Start in 2014

How does DUSEL S4 fit in with the rest?



Outline of a LXe multi-ton EXO with Ba tagging in a DUSEL module



Conclusions

Over its glorious history neutrino physics has provided plenty of surprises and has required forays in many different areas of science and technology

EXO really belongs to this tradition!

Isotope enrichment at an unprecedented scale (for science) is a reality

Data taking is approaching for EXO-200

Ba tagging for EXO is using bag of tricks borrowed from nuclear and particle physics, AMO and surface science

EXO is ramping up GXe R&D to arrive to the technology decision point with all of the information needed

